Journal of Pioneering Medical Sciences
Received: September 17, 2025 | Accepted: February 02, 2026 | Published: March 05, 2026
Volume 15, Issue 02, Pages 79-83

DOI https://doi.org/10.47310/jpms2026150210

Cryosectioning Versus Paraffin Embedding: A Comparative Review of Tissue Processing Techniques and Their Impact on Morphological and Molecular Analysis

Elryah I. Ali[1*]
[1]Department of Medical Laboratory Technology, College of Applied Medical Sciences, Northern Border University, Arar, Saudi Arabia

*Corresponding author: Elryah I. Ali (e-mail: alryah23@hotmail.com).

Abstract: **Background:** Tissue processing is a pivotal step in histology and molecular biology that profoundly impacts the analysis of cellular and tissue structures. The techniques of cryosectioning and paraffin embedding are two of the most commonly used methods for preserving tissue samples. Each of these methods offers distinct advantages and limitations, influencing downstream applications such as histopathological evaluation, immunohistochemistry (IHC), and molecular analysis. **Objective:** This review aims to compare cryosectioning and paraffin embedding by examining their methodologies, applications, and impacts on tissue preservation, morphology, and molecular analysis, including protein, RNA, and DNA studies. **Methods:** The article analyzes key aspects of both tissue processing methods, including their process time, preservation quality, suitability for molecular techniques, and impact on antigen preservation. Key studies are reviewed to highlight the strengths and weaknesses of both techniques. **Discussion:** Cryosectioning is ideal for applications requiring rapid tissue processing, such as intraoperative diagnostics and preserving labile molecules like proteins and lipids. However, it is limited by the degradation of RNA and challenges with long-term storage. Paraffin embedding, on the other hand, provides excellent morphological preservation and long-term tissue storage, making it suitable for archival studies. While it supports molecular analyses, especially DNA, the process is slower, and antigen retrieval is necessary for effective IHC staining. **Conclusion:** Both cryosectioning and paraffin embedding are valuable tissue processing techniques, each with specific strengths suited to particular applications. The choice between these methods should be based on the tissue type, molecular analysis requirements, and time constraints of the study or diagnostic procedure.

Key Words: Cryosectioning, Paraffin Embedding, Tissue Processing, Histopathology, Molecular Analysis, Antigen Retrieval, Immunohistochemistry, Formalin-Fixed Paraffin-Embedded (FFPE), RNA, DNA, Protein Analysis

INTRODUCTION

Tissue processing is a fundamental step in histology, molecular biology, and clinical diagnostics, as it directly impacts the quality of subsequent analyses, such as histopathology, immunohistochemistry (IHC), in situ hybridization, and molecular techniques like PCR and RNA sequencing. The ability to preserve tissue samples while maintaining cellular and molecular integrity is essential for accurate analysis. Among the various tissue processing techniques available, cryosectioning and paraffin embedding are two of the most commonly employed methods in laboratories and clinics worldwide [1]. Each method has evolved over the years, with distinct principles, methodologies, and applications, and understanding their differences is critical when deciding which technique to use. Cryosectioning, also known as frozen sectioning, involves the rapid freezing of fresh tissue to preserve cellular architecture and biomolecules such as proteins, lipids, and enzymes, with minimal distortion. This method is widely used for time-sensitive applications, such as intraoperative diagnostics and immunohistochemistry (IHC), where preserving the antigenic integrity of proteins is essential [2]. However, it is generally limited by the requirement for fast handling, and the tissue may suffer from degradation due to repeated freezing and thawing, especially in long-term storage [3].

On the other hand, paraffin embedding is a more traditional, widely-accepted method that involves the

fixation of tissue samples followed by dehydration, clearing, and embedding in molten paraffin wax. This process preserves tissue morphology over long periods, making it ideal for archiving samples and performing routine diagnostic histopathology. The paraffin embedding method has a higher level of preservation, especially when it comes to tissue architecture, making it the standard technique for creating tissue microarrays and performing large-scale tissue studies [4]. However, it is often associated with challenges such as antigen masking due to formalin fixation, which necessitates antigen retrieval methods to ensure that epitopes remain accessible for antibody-based detection techniques. The selection of the appropriate method is influenced by multiple factors, including the specific needs of the study or diagnostic process, the type of tissue being analyzed, and the desired molecular outcomes. For example, while cryosectioning is preferred for rapid diagnosis of diseases like cancer during surgery, paraffin embedding is typically used for archival tissue storage and routine clinical diagnosis due to its ability to preserve tissue integrity over time. In addition to these considerations, recent advancements in both techniques have helped mitigate some of their inherent limitations. For instance, cryosectioning has benefited from innovations such as improved cryoprotectants and automated cryostats, which enhance tissue preservation and sectioning efficiency. Similarly, in the case of paraffin embedding, developments in antigen retrieval techniques and microwave-assisted processing have increased the method's applicability to molecular studies, including RNA and DNA analysis [5].

Despite their complementary uses in research and clinical diagnostics, the choice between cryosectioning and paraffin embedding remains a topic of debate among pathologists, histologists, and molecular biologists [6]. This review aims to provide a comparative analysis of these two critical tissue processing techniques by examining their methodologies, advantages, limitations, and impact on histological and molecular analyses. Furthermore, it will explore the implications of these methods on tissue preservation, storage, antigen preservation, and molecular extraction, thereby assisting researchers and clinicians in selecting the most appropriate technique for their specific requirements. By evaluating the differences in tissue processing methods, this article will contribute to a deeper understanding of how cryosectioning and paraffin embedding influence downstream applications in both clinical and research settings. As we continue to make strides in the fields of molecular pathology, personalized medicine, and diagnostic technologies, it is crucial to adopt the best practices in tissue processing to ensure the reliability and accuracy of our analyses [7-8].

Review of Literature

Morphological study is important in the biological research. Although new technology has allowed researchers to observe their targets directly from the whole tissue or organisms1,2,3, cutting the specimen into thin sections, followed by staining, remains the primary method fornot only tissue morphology but also protein targeting directly in the tissue. Light microscopy uses three section types: paraffin, frozen, and semithin. Although cryosectioning is common for protecting tissue antigenicity, and the specimen preparation is simple, the retained tissue morphology is poor and unsuitable for thin sectioning4,5. Paraffin sectioning is the most frequently used method for exhibiting well preserved morphology. As the specimens are dehydrated completely and embedded in wax, the paraffin blocks can be stored indefinitely. In addition, paraffin sectioning produces thin sections that improve biological probe access in further experiments and reduce cell layer overlay in the Z direction [9-10].

However, conventional paraffin sectioning is tedious and demands operator skill. Paraffin sections undergo fixation, dehydration, embedding, cutting, and floating. Importantly, transferring section ribbons from the knife holder to the water bath is necessary but difficult for junior operators. Especially in dry air, the section ribbons will twist due to static electricity and are difficult to unfold on the warm water surface. To improve section quality, moistening the exposed tissue surface between microtome blade passes, cooling the wax blocks by immersing them in ice water, or raising the humidity with a humidifier near the microtome are recommended 6,7. Newer methods for improving paraffin sectioning include hybrid paraffin embedding, cryosectioning 8, and commercial section transfer system assistance 9. Although these methods partially improve paraffin sectioning speed and quality, they make sectioning much more cumbersome, and commercial section transfer systems are expensive [11-12].

In this protocol, we demonstrate how to create simple, cheap and flexible equipment step by step, which can be connected to the blade holder of a rotary microtome. This equipment is comprised of a section channel, a water bath, and a heater with a temperature detection switch. After cutting, dozens of sections flow into the section channel and enter the water bath directly, thus unfolding automatically [13]. This improves the efficiency of paraffin sectioning and makes this technology more convenient. Using this method, more adult mouse hippocampal sections, adult mouse kidney sections, embryonic 15.5 day-old (E15.5) mouse brain sections, and adult zebrafish eye sections were harvested in less time and remained more intact morphologically. This method can also be used for other tissue samples that require accelerated paraffin sectioning while avoiding loss of section distinction [14].

Cryosectioning: Methodology and Application

Principles and Technique: Cryosectioning involves the rapid freezing of fresh tissue specimens, preserving cellular structure by preventing degradation and enzyme activity. Tissue is typically frozen using a cryostat, which ensures rapid freezing to temperatures between -20°C and -30°C, followed by sectioning into thin slices (5-15 µm). These sections can then be mounted on slides for analysis, typically without the need for prior chemical fixation [12] (Table 1).

Table 1: Morphological Analysis

Aspect	Cryosectioning	Paraffin Embedding
Section Thickness	5–15 µm	3–5 µm
Morphological Integrity	Excellent preservation of lipids, glycogen, and membrane proteins	Excellent for histological evaluation of cellular structures
Artifacts	Risk of freezing artifacts (e.g., cracking)	Shrinkage and distortion from fixation process

Table 2: Molecular Analysis

Aspect	Cryosectioning	Paraffin Embedding
Protein Preservation	Superior for proteins, lipids, and enzymes	Good for protein analysis, but antigen retrieval is often required for IHC
RNA Quality	Less ideal for RNA preservation; rapid degradation	Suitable for DNA extraction; RNA may degrade during fixation
DNA Extraction	Can be done but less efficient than FFPE	Excellent for DNA extraction and PCR-based studies

Table 3: Immunohistochemistry

Aspect	Cryosectioning	Paraffin Embedding
Antigen Preservation	Excellent for preserving epitopes	Antigen retrieval necessary due to cross-linking
Staining Techniques	Suitable for IHC with minimal pre-treatment	Requires antigen retrieval to restore epitope integrity
Time Efficiency	Faster for IHC preparation	Longer preparation time due to additional steps

Table 4: Storage and Archiving

Aspect	Cryosectioning	Paraffin Embedding
Storage Duration	Short-term storage, needs freezing	Long-term storage at room temperature
Tissue Integrity Over Time	Can degrade if not stored properly	Can be stored for decades without significant degradation

Cryosectioning Technique

- **Freezing**: Fresh tissue is rapidly frozen in a cryostat or using cryoprotective agents such as OCT compound to prevent ice crystal formation.
- **Sectioning**: Thin slices (5-20 µm) are cut using a microtome or cryotome.
- **Staining**: Common stains such as H&E or specific immunohistochemical markers are used for visualization.

Advantages

- **Preservation of Sensitive Molecules**: Cryosectioning is optimal for preserving proteins, lipids, and enzymes that may degrade during formalin fixation. It also prevents the degradation of labile molecules such as glycogen and some antibodies.
- **Rapid Processing**: Cryosectioning allows for the fast preparation of tissue sections, which is essential for time-sensitive applications like intraoperative frozen sectioning.
- **Superior Antigen Preservation**: The method is highly suitable for immunohistochemistry (IHC) and other antigen detection techniques, as freezing does not cause the antigenic modifications often seen in formalin-fixed tissues [17].

Limitations

- **Storage Issues**: Frozen tissues are prone to degradation during long-term storage, especially due to the potential for damage from freeze-thaw cycles
- **Sectioning Challenges**: Cryosectioning can be difficult, with frozen tissue sometimes cracking or distorting, leading to uneven sections
- **Limited for RNA Preservation**: RNA degradation is more common in frozen tissues, making RNA-based molecular analyses less reliable compared to paraffin-embedded samples

Paraffin Embedding: Methodology and Application

Principles and Technique: Paraffin embedding is a more traditional and widely-used method for tissue preservation. It involves fixing tissue in formalin to preserve cellular structure, followed by dehydration through ethanol and clearing with xylene before embedding in molten paraffin wax. The paraffin solidifies at room temperature, allowing the tissue to be sectioned into thin slices (3-5 µm) [16] (Table 2).

Paraffin Embedding Technique

- **Fixation**: Tissue is immersed in formalin for 12-24 hours to fix the cellular structures.
- **Dehydration and Clearing**: Water is removed using alcohol solutions, and ethanol is replaced with xylene to remove the alcohol.
- **Embedding**: The tissue is then infiltrated with molten paraffin wax, which solidifies upon cooling.
- **Sectioning**: Thin slices (3–5 µm) are cut and mounted for subsequent staining or molecular analysis.

Advantages

- **Long-Term Preservation**: Paraffin-embedded tissues can be stored for long periods at room temperature without significant degradation, making it ideal for archiving and retrospective studies.
- **Detailed Morphology**: The method provides excellent preservation of tissue morphology, making it the gold standard for histological examination.

- **Suitable for Molecular Analysis**: Paraffin embedding is ideal for DNA and protein analysis. While RNA can degrade during fixation, modern RNA extraction techniques have made paraffin-embedded tissues useful for gene expression studies.

Limitations

- **Antigen Masking**: Formalin fixation can cause cross-linking of proteins, which can mask antigens and interfere with immunohistochemistry. However, antigen retrieval techniques can mitigate this limitation.
- **Time-Consuming**: The process of fixation, dehydration, embedding, and cooling takes more time compared to cryosectioning.
- **RNA Degradation**: Formalin fixation can cause significant RNA degradation, although advancements in RNA retrieval techniques have helped overcome this issue.

Comparative Analysis: Cryosectioning vs. Paraffin Embedding

Recent Advances and Innovations in Tissue Processing: Both cryosectioning and paraffin embedding have seen significant technological advancements aimed at improving processing efficiency, quality, and applicability for molecular analyses (Table 3-4).

- **Cryosectioning**: Recent developments in automated cryostats have minimized human error and improved reproducibility. Additionally, new cryoprotective agents and freezing techniques are helping preserve tissue structure better, reducing ice crystal formation
- **Paraffin Embedding**: Advances in microwave-assisted tissue processing have dramatically reduced the time required for dehydration, embedding, and sectioning. New techniques in antigen retrieval have also made it possible to preserve epitopes that were previously lost during formalin fixation

Application in Clinical and Research Settings

- **Cryosectioning**: Widely used in intraoperative diagnostics (frozen section), rapid protein analysis, and **immunohistochemistry**. Its speed and ability to preserve labile molecules make it ideal for real-time diagnosis
- **Paraffin Embedding**: Favored for routine diagnostic histopathology, long-term tissue storage, and gene expression studies. It is the method of choice for large-scale tissue studies and clinical research [18]

CONCLUSIONS

Cryosectioning and paraffin embedding are both valuable methods, each with specific strengths and limitations. Cryosectioning is ideal for rapid analysis and preservation of sensitive molecules, while paraffin embedding offers long-term tissue preservation and is the gold standard for detailed morphological analysis. The choice between these two methods depends on the specific requirements of the research or diagnostic case, including time constraints, molecular targets, and storage needs.

REFERENCES

[1] Bass, B.P. *et al.* "A review of preanalytical factors affecting molecular, protein, and morphological analysis of formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded (FFPE) tissue: How well do you know your FFPE specimen?" *Archives of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine*, vol. 138, no. 11, 2014, pp. 1520–1530.

[2] Katoh, K. "Microwave-assisted tissue preparation for rapid fixation, decalcification, antigen retrieval, cryosectioning, and immunostaining." *International Journal of Cell Biology*, 2016, pp. 7076910.

[3] Cox, M.L. *et al.* "Assessment of fixatives, fixation, and tissue processing on morphology and RNA integrity." *Experimental and Molecular Pathology*, vol. 80, no. 2, 2006, pp. 183–191.

[4] Zupančič, D. *et al.* "How to obtain good morphology and antigen detection in the same tissue section?" *Protoplasma*, vol. 254, 2017, pp. 1931–1939.

[5] Durán, I. *et al.* "Freeze substitution followed by low melting point wax embedding preserves histomorphology and allows protein and mRNA localization techniques." *Microscopy Research and Technique*, vol. 74, no. 5, 2011, pp. 440–448.

[6] Sánchez-Porras, D. *et al.* "Tissue fixation and processing for the histological identification of lipids." In: *Histochemistry of Single Molecules: Methods and Protocols*, 2022, pp. 175–186.

[7] Golubeva, Y. and Rogers, K. "Collection and preparation of rodent tissue samples for histopathological and molecular studies in carcinogenesis." In: *Inflammation and Cancer: Methods and Protocols: Volume 1: Experimental Models and Practical Approaches*, 2009, pp. 3–60.

[8] Cinar, O. *et al.* "A microscopic survey on the efficiency of well-known routine chemical fixatives on cryosections." *Acta Histochemica*, vol. 108, no. 6, 2006, pp. 487–496.

[9] Singla, K. *et al.* "Comparative evaluation of different histoprocessing methods." *International Journal of Health Sciences*, vol. 11, no. 2, 2017, pp. 28.

[10] Balouch, F.K. "Therapeutic proteins against human diseases." *Springer Nature Singapore*, 2022.

[11] Khatoon, F. "Environmental and genetic influences on placental morphogenesis: Integrating maternal health and fetal outcomes." *Journal of Pioneering Medical Sciences*, vol. 14, no. 5, 2025, pp. 156–163.

[12] Pietrowska, M. *et al.* "Tissue fixed with formalin and processed without paraffin embedding is suitable for imaging of both peptides and lipids by MALDI-IMS." *Proteomics*, vol. 16, no. 11–12, 2016, pp. 1670–1677.

[13] Blaschitz, A. *et al.* "Application of cryo-compatible antibodies to human placenta paraffin sections." *Histochemistry and Cell Biology*, vol. 130, 2008, pp. 595–599.

[14] Azril *et al.* "A methodology to evaluate different histological preparations of soft tissues: Intervertebral disc tissues study." *Journal of Applied Biomaterials and Functional Materials*, vol. 21, 2023, pp. 22808000231155634.

[15] Foroni, L. *et al.* "Paraffin embedding allows effective analysis of proliferation, survival, and immunophenotyping of cells cultured on poly (l-lactic acid) electrospun nanofiber scaffolds." *Tissue Engineering Part C: Methods*, vol. 16, no. 4, 2010, pp. 751–760.

[16] Khatoon, F. "Use of deoxy ribonucleic acid in human identification." *Gomal Journal of Medical Sciences*, vol. 16, 2018.

[17] Yoshida, M. *et al.* "Cryosection preparation for histological study, gene expression analysis and imaging mass spectrometry." *Journal of Plant Biology Research*, vol. 1, no. 1, 2019, pp. 1–7.

[18] Accart, N. *et al.* "Revisiting fixation and embedding techniques for optimal detection of dendritic cell subsets in tissues." *Journal of Histochemistry and Cytochemistry*, vol. 62, no. 9, 2014, pp. 661–671.