Dental Caries Status and Treatment Needs in a Prison Setting at Ferozepur City

Karanprakash Singh¹, Amarjit Singh Gill², Harpreet Singh Cheema³, Chitra Anandani⁴, Pinaka Pani⁵, Puneet Dhillon⁶

¹Assistant Professor, Department of Public Health Dentistry, Genesis Institute of Dental Sciences and Research, Ferozepur, India

²Professor, Department of Periodontology, Genesis Institute of Dental Sciences and Research, Ferozepur, India

³Tutor, Department of Endodontics, Genesis Institute of Dental Sciences and Research, Ferozepur, India

⁴Assistant Professor, Department of Oral Pathology, College of Dental Sciences, Ahmedabad, India

⁵Reader, Department of Oral Medicine and Radiology, Genesis Institute of Dental Sciences and Research, Ferozepur,

India

⁶Intern, Genesis Institute of Dental Sciences and Research, Ferozepur, India

-ABSTRACT-

BACKGROUND: The present survey was carried out to assess the dental caries status and treatment needs among the jail inmates, which would be helpful in planning suitable oral health care services in a prison setting.

METHODS: A descriptive cross-sectional study was performed on a population of 338 prisoners (males-256, females-82) in the Central Jail, Ferozepur, India. Clinical data were recorded using WHO Oral Health Assessment Form (1997) for assessing dental health status. The data were analyzed using the SPSS version 15.0 with Student's t-test and ANOVA test.

Keywords: Dental caries; Treatment needs; Jail inmates

INTRODUCTION

Prisons in India are often overcrowded, stressful, hostile and sometimes violent areas in which individuals from generally poor communities, certain ethnic backgrounds, and minorities are overrepresented. Many prisoners are unemployed before being sentenced and come from communities with a high level of social exclusion. Their lifestyles are more likely to put them at risk of ill health [1]. The numbers of prisoners have dramatically increased over the last two decades. Several factors have contributed to this, including poverty, migration, violence and the fact that increased incarceration is often politically expedient [2]. Prisoners tend to have poorer physical, mental and social health than the general population. The socio economic class from which these inmates and pre-trial detainees originate have a poor oral hygiene and poor dietary choices [3].

With an increase in the number of sentenced

RESULTS: The prevalence of dental caries was 92.6% with a higher prevalence among female inmates. The mean scores of decayed missing filled teeth (DMFT) increased with advancing age. Around 24.3% of the inmates had root caries and the most common required dental procedure was restorations along with extraction.

CONCLUSION: The prison population had high prevalence of dental caries and regular dental care should be provided to this community to improve their dental health status.

offenders, the demand on prison dental services has continued to increase. Prisoners' dental health needs are comparatively high compared with the population outside prison, and providing appropriate dental services is an essential part of prison health services [4]. Tooth decay or dental caries is the most common chronic disease in correctional facilities [5].

Primary care is the foundation of prison health services. The commitment of dentists and the dental team is central to the future of dentistry within the prison service. Due to lack of baseline data, it is virtually impossible to determine the prevalence of dental caries in this community. Therefore, the goal of this study was to provide baseline data on prevalence of dental caries among prison inmates in an Indian jail.

METHODS

Simple random sampling method was used to select 338 inmates from the Ferozepur Central

Conflict of Interest: None declared

This article has been peer reviewed.

Article Submitted on: 25th June 2014

Article Accepted on: 10th October 2014

Funding Sources: None declared

Correspondence to: Dr Karanprakash Singh

Address: Department of Public Health Dentistry, Genesis Institute of Dental Sciences and Research, Ferozepur, India

Email: <u>drkarankahlon@qmail.co</u> <u>m</u>

Cite this article: Singh K, Gill AS, Cheema HS, Anandani C, Pani P, Dhillion P. Dental caries status and treatment needs in a prison setting at Ferozepur city. J Pioneer Med Sci 2015; 5(1):15-18 Jail who underwent an Oral Health Survey developed by the Department of Public Health Dentistry of Genesis Institute of Dental Sciences and Research, in January 2013. The standard deviation age of the inmates was 33.5 ± 3.3 years.

Official permission: An official permission was obtained from the institute before beginning the survey. The purpose of the study was informed and explained to the prisoners and a written informed consent was obtained from all participants.

Pilot study: A pilot study was performed on 20 subjects to determine the appropriateness and feasibility of the survey. It helped to determine sample size and to evaluate the required time period to assess each subject. The prevalence of dental caries in the pilot study was 83%. The intra examiner and inter examiner reliability was assessed using weighted kappa statistics, which was 88% and 92% for DMFT, respectively.

Oral examination: The examiners conducted oral examinations using a standardized protocol following the guidelines described by the WHO Oral Health Assessment Form (1997) [6]. Dental caries status was measured as the number of DMFT score 1 (decayed) and 2 (filled with secondary decay) in dentition status was considered as decayed teeth, score 3 as filled teeth and score 4 as missing teeth. Dental caries experience was averaged over the whole sample and expressed as mean DMFT.

Methodology: The oral examination of the participants was conducted under natural day light. The examination of dental caries was made using explorer and a mouth mirror (Type III examination) and about 12-14 inmates were screened per day.

Statistical analysis: We used Statistical Package for the Social Sciences version 15.0 for the analysis of the data. Data comparisons were performed by applying Student's t-test and ANOVA test to determine the statistically significant difference between the groups. A difference was considered to be statistically significant if p < 0.05.

RESULTS

The prevalence of DMFT was 89.8%, of which decayed teeth (DT) were present in 92.6% prisoners; missing teeth (MT) in 25.4% and filled teeth (FT) in 19.5%.

The standard deviation number of decayed teeth per person was 3.08±2.04, mean number of missing teeth per person was 0.28±0.65 and mean number of filled teeth per person was 0.19±0.53. Overall, DMFT among the prisoners was 0.93±0.27. When compared by gender, female prisoners had higher number of decayed and filled teeth whereas male inmates had higher number of missing teeth, as shown in Table 1. There was a significant difference for DT component in all four age groups, with more common prevalence of caries in older inmates. Similarly, mean score of missing teeth was higher among older age groups, whereas FT component was mostly seen among younger age groups. Overall, DMFT scores increased with advancing age which was 0.87±0.29 among 20 to 29 year age group and 0.96±0.28 among inmates older than 50 years (Table 2).

The overall prevalence of root caries in the study population was 24.3% and it ranged from a maximum frequency of 32.5% among 30-39 years age group to minimum frequency of 17.7% among 20-29 years age group as mentioned in Table 3.

Of the 338 inmates examined: 36.7% subjects required one surface filling, 30.8% subjects required two or more surface fillings, 8.4% subjects required crown, 0.4% subjects required veneer / laminate, 38.4% subjects required pulp

Table 1: Distribution of mean DT, MT, FTand DMFT according to gender (Student's t-test)

Charact -eristics	Sex	No	Mean	SD	p- value
Decayed teeth	Male	256	2.83	1.63	0.046
	Female	82	3.92	2.44	
	Total	338	3.08	2.04	
Missing teeth	Male	256	0.39	0.72	0.016
	Female	82	0.16	0.35	
	Total	338	0.28	0.65	
Filled teeth	Male	256	0.16	0.48	0.047
	Female	82	0.29	0.51	
	Total	338	0.19	0.53	
DMFT	Male	256	0.92	0.29	0.170
	Female	82	0.97	0.28	0.178
	Total	338	0.93	0.27	

Table 2: Distribution of mean DT, MT, FT and DMFT according to age (ANOVA test)

Charac	Age	No	Mean	SD	p-
te-	group				value
ristics	S				
Decayed	20-29	124	2.74	1.53	
teeth	30-39	83	2.24	1.15	< 0.001
	40-49	63	3.13	1.15	
	≥50	68	3.64	2.63	
Missing	20-29	124	0.15	0.69	
teeth	30-39	83	0.22	0.79	0.18
	40-49	63	0.35	0.67	
	≥50	68	0.36	0.45	
Filled	20-29	124	0.22	0.53	
teeth	30-39	83	0.20	0.40	0.37
	40-49	63	0.13	0.34	
	≥50	68	0.31	0.54	
DMFT	20-29	124	0.87	0.29	
	30-39	83	0.91	0.29	0.51
	40-49	63	0.94	0.34	
	≥50	68	0.96	0.28	

care and restoration, 18.9% subjects required other procedures like removal partial dentures and fixed partial dentures and most of the participants (44.3%) required extractions.

DISCUSSION

Primary oral health care is the most effective element of health care in any public health system (WHO 1978) [6] and should be available to every prisoner [7]. As prisoners require most dental health care procedures, it is important to give priority to such cases in order to prevent further complications.

The present study showed the prevalence of dental caries in the jail inmates of Ferozepur as 92.6% which was similar to the study conducted by Reddy et al in Karnataka inmates [8]. Clare [9] observed that there was a substantial reduction in dental caries among prisoners who

had been in prison continuously for three years due to the availability of dental health services to them that facilitated the restoration of decayed teeth, extraction of mobile teeth, etc.

The number of missing teeth observed was less in the present study, which was in contrast to the study by Reddy et al where they observed more number of extractions which might be due to fewer facilities available for the conservation of teeth [8]. In the present study, females had higher number of decayed and filled teeth and the results were comparable with Reddy et al [8] study among life-imprisoned inmates in central jails of Karnataka and Heng et al among female inmates in 2002 [10]. As females had higher number of decayed teeth, the chances of restoration were more among them as observed in these studies [8, 10].

In the present study, we found comparatively lesser prevalence of DMFT than other studies in prison premises [11, 12], which can be attributed to the differences in diet pattern among the inmates in the different regions. However, prevalence was higher than in the general population in other areas [13, 14]. It is observed in previous data that most inmates were less educated, unemployed, and from lower social classes [15], and it is generally recognized that people from lower social classes have lower use of preventive dental services [16]. Similar results were obtained by Reddy et al in central jails of Karnataka and other UK prison studies [8, 17, 18]. However, Mixson et al, 1990 and Salive et al, 1989 reported a higher number of missing teeth in their study among prisoners, reflecting a previous dental trauma [19, 20].

The present study observed restoration as the most common treatment need whether it is one surface or two surface followed by extraction. These perceived needs were more than that of the general population and were consistent with the findings of Heidari et al study among remand prisoners in Brixton [5].

However, Reddy et al had shown higher unmet treatment needs as 48.1% of prisoners needed one surface filling, 39.5% required two or more surface fillings and 62.1% needed extraction [8]. The reason why more inmates required complex treatments compared with present data may be due to the absence of regular prison dental services.

The provision of dental health care services in prison premises is a frustrating experience for dentists and presents many difficulties, including concerns about threats to personal safety and inability to move freely [21]. **Table 3:** Prevalence of root caries amongstudy population

Age in vears	No. of persons	Prevalence of root		
Jears	evamined	curics		
	examined	No	%	
20-29	124	22	17.7%	
30-39	83	27	32.5%	
40-49	63	14	22.2%	
≥50	68	19	27.9%	
Total	338	82	24.3%	

CONCLUSION

The oral health status of the prisoners in the present study was poor as compared with the general population in different areas. The inmates had a higher prevalence of decay and fewer filled teeth which indicate less availability of dental procedures. Prisons may provide a prime opportunity to treat individuals with limited access healthcare and to address inequality in health by means of specific health interventions.

REFERENCES

- Fazel S, Cartwright J, Norman-Nott A, Psych MR, Hawton K. Suicide in prisoners: a systematic review of risk factors. J Clin Psychiatry 2008; 4:1-11.
- 2. Mauer M. Addressing racial disparities in incarceration. *Prison J* 2011; 9:87-101.
- 3. Blaauw E, Roesch R, Kerkhof AJFM. Mental health care in European prison systems. *Int J Law Psychiat* 2000; 23:649–663.
- Buchanan KM, Milsom KM, Zoitopoulos L, Pau A, Tickle M. The performance of a screening test for urgent dental treatment need in a prison population. *Brit Dent J* 2008; 205:E19.
- 5. Heidari E, Dickinson C, Wilson R, Fiske J. Oral health of remand prisoners in HMP Brixton London. *Brit Dent J* 2007; 202:E5.
- 6. World Health Organization: oral health surveys basic method. 4th edition, Geneva, WHO 1987; 760-871.
- WHO (1978). Primary health care. Report of the International Conference on Primary Health Care, Alma-Ata, USSR, 6–12 September 1978. Geneva, World Health Organization, No. 1.
- Reddy V, Kondareddy CV, Siddanna S, Manjunath M. A survey on oral health status and treatment needs of life-imprisoned inmates in central jails of Karnataka, India. *Int Dent J* 2012; 62:27–32.
- Clare JH. Dental health status, unmet needs and utilization of services in a cohort of adult felons at admission and after 3 years' incarceration. J Correct Health Care 2002; 9:65–76.
- Heng CK, Douglas EM. Dental caries experience of female inmates. J Public Health Dent 2002; 62:57–61.

- 11. Nobil CG, Fortunato L, Pavia M. Oral health status of male prisoners in Italy. *Int Dent J* 2007; 57:27–35.
- Cunningham MA, Glenn RE, Field HM. Dental disease prevalence in a prison population. *J Public Health Dent* 1985; 45:49–52.
- Bali RK, Mathur VB, Talwar PP, Chanana HB. National Oral Health Survey and Fluoride Mapping 2002-2003 India. Dental Council of India and Ministry of Health and Family Welfare (Government of India), 2004.
- Salive ME, Carolla JM, Brewer TF. Dental health status of male inmates in a state prison system. J Public Health Dent 1989; 49:83–86.
- Dhanker K, Ingle NA, Kaur N, Gupta R. Oral health status and treatment needs of inmates in district jail of Mathura city – a cross sectional study. J Oral Health Comm Dent 2013; 7:24-32.
- 16. Nuttall NM, Bradnock G, White D, Morris J, Nunn J. Dental attendance in 1998 and implications for the future. *Br Dent J* 2001; 190:177-182.
- 17. Jones CM, Woods K, Neville J, Whittle JG. Dental health of prisoners in the north west of England in 2000: literature review and dental health survey results. *Community Dent Health* 2005; 22:113-117.
- Lunn H, Morris J, Jacob A, Grummitt C. The oral health of a group of prison inmates. *Dent Update* 2003; 30:135-138.
- Mixson GM, Eplee HC, Fell PH. Oral health status of a federal prison population. *J Public Health Dent* 1990; 50:257–261.
- Sheridan J, Aggleton M, Carson T. Dental health and access to dental treatment: a comparison of drug users and non-drug users attending community pharmacies. *Br Dent J* 2001; 191:453-457.
- Hayes A, Shaw J. Practice into policy: the needs of elderly prisoners in England and Wales. *Prison Serv J* 2011; 194:38-44.