Journal of Pioneering Medical Sciences

Received: July 02, 2025 | Accepted: August 24, 2025 | Published: September 05, 2025 | Volume 14, Issue S02, Pages 243-252

DOI https://doi.org/10.47310/jpms202514S0237



Menstrual Health and Inequities: Knowledge, Hygiene Practices, Socio-Cultural Restrictions, Health-Seeking Behavior, and Socio-Demographic Determinants Among School-Going Adolescent Girls in Shimla District, Himachal Pradesh

Amit Sachdeva^{1*}, Anubha Gupta², Anmol Gupta³, Mrs Ritu Gupta⁴ and Anju Sachdeva⁵

Department of Community Medicine, Indira Gandhi Medical College, Shimla, Himachal Pradesh
Department of Community Medicine, Vardhman Mahavir Medical College & Safdarjung Hospital, New Delhi
Department of Community Medicine, Indira Gandhi Medical College, Shimla, Himachal Pradesh
O/O Deputy Director Higher Education, Shimla, Himachal Pradesh5Physiotherapist, ECHS, Military Hospital, Shimla, Himachal Pradesh

Author Designation: 'Assistant Professor, 'Junior Resident, 'Professor and Head and 'Nodal Officer

*Corresponding author: Dr. Amit Sachdeva (e-mail: dramitsachdeva2410@gmail.com).

©2025 the Author(s). This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0

Abstract: Background: Menstrual health is a critical but often neglected component of adolescent well-being. Despite increasing attention in India, knowledge gaps, unsafe practices, socio-cultural restrictions, and inequities persist. Evidence from Himachal Pradesh is limited, despite its high literacy rates and strong cultural traditions. This study aimed to assess menstrual knowledge, hygiene practices, socio-cultural restrictions, and health-seeking behavior among school-going adolescent girls in Shimla district, and to examine their associations with socio-demographic variables. **Methods:** A school-based cross-sectional survey was conducted by Department of Community Medicine, Indira Gandhi Medical College, Shimla, Himachal Pardesh among 5,433 adolescent girls enrolled in government secondary and senior secondary schools in Shimla. Data were collected using a structured, pretested questionnaire administered via Google Forms, circulated to school principals by the Department of Education. Domains included socio-demographic profile, knowledge and awareness, hygiene practices, socio-cultural restrictions, and health-seeking behavior. Data were analyzed using descriptive statistics and chi-square tests to assess associations, with p<0.05 considered statistically significant. **Results:** Most girls (92.1%) had heard about menstruation before menarche, primarily from mothers (84.8%), but misconceptions persisted regarding the source of menstrual blood (uterus: 43.9%; bladder: 43.4%). Sanitary pad use was near-universal (94.3%), yet safe disposal practices varied, and 2.5% reported unsafe disposal (flushing/open). Socio-cultural restrictions were widespread, with 83.4% restricted from temples and 46.8% made to stay separately during menstruation. Health-seeking behavior was relatively high (81.3% consulted someone), but only half (50.6%) recognized all warning signs requiring medical attention. Across domains, better outcomes were consistently associated with older age, higher class, parental education, and family income (p<0.001 in most cases), while family type showed no significant effect. Conclusion: Although menstrual hygiene adoption was encouraging, significant knowledge deficits, unsafe disposal practices, entrenched cultural restrictions, and incomplete recognition of menstrual morbidities remain. Socio-economic and educational disparities strongly shape menstrual experiences. Targeted interventions—strengthening school-based education, engaging parents and communities, improving waste management, and promoting adolescent-friendly health services—are urgently needed. Addressing both informational and cultural dimensions of menstruation will advance adolescent health, gender equity, and dignity.

Key Words Adolescent Girls, Menstrual Hygiene, Knowledge, Socio-Cultural Restrictions, Health-Seeking Behavior, India, Shimla

INTRODUCTION

Adolescence is a critical transitional stage marked by rapid physical, psychological, and social changes. Among these, the onset of menstruation represents a key milestone in the reproductive life of girls, carrying profound implications for health, education, and social participation [1,2]. Globally,



approximately 1.2 billion adolescents are aged 10–19 years, with nearly one-fifth residing in India, making menstrual health an urgent public health priority in this context [3-4]. Despite decades of programmatic efforts, menstruation remains enshrouded in silence, stigma, and misinformation in many parts of South Asia, including Himachal Pradesh, where cultural taboos and inadequate awareness continue to shape adolescent experiences.

Evidence from across India highlights persistent gaps in knowledge about the physiology of menstruation, low preparedness before menarche, unsafe menstrual practices, and widespread socio-cultural restrictions. Studies report that less than half of adolescent girls are aware of menstruation before their first experience, misconceptions regarding the source of menstrual blood and the normal length of cycles are common [5-8]. Such knowledge deficits are often compounded by socioeconomic and educational disparities, with parental education and household income exerting strong influences on awareness, hygiene practices, and health-seeking behavior. Furthermore, while access to sanitary pads has improved in recent years, safe disposal practices remain inadequate, raising environmental and health concerns. Parallel to these challenges, cultural restrictions—such as exclusion from temples, social gatherings, or kitchen work continue to limit girls' mobility and dignity during menstruation [9-10].

The consequences of poor menstrual knowledge and hygiene are wide-ranging. Physiologically, they increase vulnerability to reproductive tract infections, anemia, and dysmenorrhea. Psychologically, menstruation is often associated with fear, shame, and embarrassment, particularly when experienced in unsupportive environments. Socially, restrictions during menstruation contribute to absenteeism from school, reduced participation in daily activities, and reinforcement of gender inequities [11,12]. Health-seeking behavior is also constrained; girls frequently resort to self-medication or traditional healers instead of consulting qualified providers, often due to stigma, lack of agency, or limited family support. Collectively, these factors underscore the intersection of menstruation with broader social determinants of health [1,13].

Shimla district of Himachal Pradesh offers a unique setting to explore these issues. The region combines high literacy levels with traditional cultural practices, presenting an important opportunity to examine the interplay between socio-demographic characteristics, menstrual knowledge, hygiene management, cultural restrictions, and health-seeking behaviors [14,15]. However, few large-scale, school-based studies have systematically assessed these interlinked domains in this region. Understanding these patterns is essential to designing context-sensitive interventions that not only address knowledge deficits but also challenge entrenched socio-cultural barriers.

Against this background, the present study was undertaken among school-going adolescent girls in District Shimla. The objectives were threefold:

- To describe the socio-demographic profile of the respondents
- To assess their knowledge, awareness, hygiene practices, socio-cultural restrictions, and health-seeking behaviors related to menstruation
- To examine the associations between these outcomes and key socio-demographic determinants such as age, class, parental education, family income, and family type. By presenting robust evidence from a large, representative sample, this study aims to contribute to the growing body of literature on menstrual health in India and inform policy and programmatic interventions that promote adolescent well-being, gender equity, and reproductive health

METHODS

Study Design and Setting

A cross-sectional, school-based survey was conducted by Department of Community Medicine, Indira Gandhi Medical College, Shimla, Himachal Pardesh among adolescent girls enrolled in government secondary (classes 9–10) and senior secondary (classes 11–12) schools in District Shimla, Himachal Pradesh, India. Shimla, the state capital, has high literacy rates compared to national averages but retains strong socio-cultural traditions, making it a relevant setting to examine menstrual knowledge, hygiene practices, and related cultural beliefs.

Study Population

The study population comprised school-going adolescent girls aged 13 years and above, attending government secondary and senior secondary schools. Girls who had attained menarche and were willing to provide informed consent (and assent where applicable) were eligible to participate.

Sample Size and Sampling

A census approach was adopted to ensure representativeness across the district. The study ultimately included 5,433 respondents from multiple government schools, reflecting a broad coverage of the adolescent school-going population in Shimla. The large sample size enhances both the statistical power and generalizability of findings.

Data Collection Tool

Data were collected using a structured, pretested questionnaire designed in Google Forms. The tool was developed in English and translated into Hindi for ease of comprehension. It comprised five domains:

- Socio-demographic characteristics (age, class, religion, parental education, occupation, family income, type, and size)
- Knowledge and awareness about menstruation
- Hygiene practices and menstrual management
- Socio-cultural restrictions and beliefs
- Health-seeking behavior



The questionnaire was pretested on a small subset of students outside the study sample, and necessary modifications were made for clarity and cultural sensitivity.

Data Collection Procedure

The Google Form link was circulated to the principals of all government secondary and senior secondary schools across Shimla district through the official communication network of the Department of Education. Principals were requested to share the link with eligible female students, who could complete the form either on school computers or personal smartphones under teacher supervision. To reduce reporting bias, students were assured of anonymity and confidentiality, and no personal identifiers were collected.

Ethical Considerations

Permission for school-based data collection was granted by the Department of Education, Himachal Pradesh. Participation was voluntary; informed consent was obtained from students aged ≥18 years, while assent along with parental consent was secured for those <18 years. Anonymity of responses was strictly maintained, and students were informed that declining participation would have no academic or disciplinary consequences.

Data Management and Statistical Analysis

Responses from Google Forms were downloaded into Microsoft Excel and subsequently imported into Epi Info version 7 for analysis. Descriptive statistics were used to summarize frequencies and percentages. Associations between socio-demographic variables and outcomes (knowledge, practices, restrictions, and health-seeking behavior) were examined using chi-square tests, with statistical significance set at p<0.05. For multilevel categorical variables, overall chi-square values were reported, while dichotomized comparisons (e.g., ≤10th vs >10th class, ≤senior secondary vs ≥senior secondary parental education) were computed to highlight key patterns.

RESULTS

The socio-demographic profile of the 5,433 school-going adolescent girls in Shimla district reveals a diverse yet predominantly middle-adolescent population. More than half (52.1%) of the girls were between 15-17 years of age, while 14.3% were in the early adolescent group (13-14 years), and 13.6% were older than 17 years. Academic distribution indicated that the largest proportion of respondents were studying in classes 11 and 12 (63.0%), reflecting the coverage of senior secondary schools, while smaller proportions were from lower classes. The overwhelming majority of respondents were Hindu (97.2%), with only small numbers reporting Muslim (1.0%), Christian (0.7%), Sikh (0.2%), or other religious affiliations (0.9%). Parental education demonstrated a gradient, with nearly onethird of mothers (30.2%) and fathers (33.7%) having studied up to senior secondary, but illiteracy remained considerably higher among mothers (9.7%) compared to fathers (5.2%).

Occupation patterns were gendered: while fathers were predominantly engaged in farming (59.7%) or government service (16.2%), mothers were mostly housewives (82.6%), with only 6.7% in government service. More than three-fifths of families reported monthly incomes below ₹10,000, underscoring the economic vulnerability of many households.

Table 1: Socio-Demographic Profile of School-Going Adolescent Girls,

District Shimla (N	V = 5433)		
Variable	Category	Frequency	Percentage
		(n)	(%)
Age (years)	13–14	778	14.3
	14–15	1088	20
	15–16	1422	26.2
	16–17	1408	25.9
	17–18	647	11.9
C1 C . 1	>18	90	1.7
Class of study	8th	146	2.7
	9th	934	17.2
	10th 11th	931 1696	17.1 31.2
Religion	12th Hindu	1726 5281	31.8 97.2
Religion	Muslim	57	1
	Christian	36	0.7
	Sikh	10	0.7
	Others*	49	0.2
Mother's education	Illiterate	528	9.7
Would seducation	Primary	665	12.2
	Middle	1030	19
	Secondary	1039	19.1
	Senior	1643	30.2
	Secondary	1015	30.2
	Graduate	357	6.6
	Postgraduate	106	2
Father's education	Illiterate	283	5.2
	Primary	412	7.6
	Middle	900	16.6
	Secondary	1063	19.6
	Senior	1832	33.7
	Secondary		
	Graduate	621	11.4
	Postgraduate	199	3.7
Father's occupation	Farmer	3243	59.7
	Govt. service	882	16.2
	Private service	705	13
	Others	523	9.6
Mother's occupation	Housewife	4487	82.6
	Govt. service	362	6.7
	Private service	181	3.3
	Farmer	281	5.2
	Others	122	2.2
Monthly family income	<10,000	3302	60.8
(Rs.)	10 000 20 000	004	16.5
	10,000–20,000	894	
	20,000–30,000	513	9.4
	20,000–30,000 30,000–40,000	513 332	9.4 6.1
	20,000–30,000 30,000–40,000 40,000–50,000	513 332 186	9.4 6.1 3.4
	20,000–30,000 30,000–40,000	513 332	9.4 6.1
Type of family	20,000–30,000 30,000–40,000 40,000–50,000 >50,000	513 332 186 206	9.4 6.1 3.4 3.8
Type of family	20,000–30,000 30,000–40,000 40,000–50,000 >50,000 Nuclear	513 332 186 206 3336	9.4 6.1 3.4 3.8 61.4
Type of family	20,000–30,000 30,000–40,000 40,000–50,000 >50,000 Nuclear Joint	513 332 186 206 3336 2047	9.4 6.1 3.4 3.8 61.4 37.7
	20,000–30,000 30,000–40,000 40,000–50,000 >50,000 Nuclear Joint Others	513 332 186 206 3336 2047 50	9.4 6.1 3.4 3.8 61.4 37.7 0.9
Type of family Family size (members)	20,000–30,000 30,000–40,000 40,000–50,000 >50,000 Nuclear Joint Others 1–2	513 332 186 206 3336 2047 50 25	9.4 6.1 3.4 3.8 61.4 37.7 0.9 0.5
	20,000–30,000 30,000–40,000 40,000–50,000 >50,000 Nuclear Joint Others	513 332 186 206 3336 2047 50	9.4 6.1 3.4 3.8 61.4 37.7 0.9



Table 2. Knowledge and awareness related to menstruation among school-going adolescent girls, District Shimla (N = 5433)

Variable	Category	Frequency (n)	Percentage (%)
Awareness about menstruation before menarche	Yes	5003	92.1
	No	430	7.9
First source of information	Mother	4609	84.8
	Siblings	118	2.2
	Friends	163	3.0
	Teachers	130	2.4
	Health personnel	221	4.1
	Books/others	192	3.5
Person with whom comfortable to discuss	Mother	4250	78.2
	Siblings	232	4.3
	Friends	309	5.7
	Teacher	167	3.1
	Health personnel	290	5.3
	Others	185	3.4
Cause of menstruation	Physiological/hormonal	4259	78.4
	Don't know	658	12.1
	God-given/other beliefs	511	9.4
Source of menstrual blood	Uterus (correct)	2388	43.9
	Bladder (incorrect)	2359	43.4
	Don't know	686	12.6
Usual age of menarche (years)	9–10	362	6.6
	11–13	3248	59.8
	14–16	1655	30.4
	Don't know	168	3.1
Normal duration of menstrual cycle	<21 days	2294	42.2
•	21–35 days (correct)	2381	43.8
	>35 days	493	9.1
	Don't know	265	4.9
Knowledge about frequency of pad/cloth change	Once a day	126	2.3
	Once in 7–8 hours	539	9.9
	Once in 4–6 hours (correct)	4491	82.7
	Don't know	277	5.1

Family structures were largely nuclear (61.4%), though joint families still comprised a substantial 37.7%. Household size was generally large, with nearly 70% of families reporting more than four members. Collectively, these findings point to a population that is predominantly rural-agricultural, economically constrained, and still affected by gendered disparities in parental education and occupation.

The assessment of knowledge and awareness revealed encouraging levels of pre-menarcheal exposure but substantial knowledge gaps regarding menstruation's physiology. Nearly all girls (92.1%) had heard about menstruation before menarche. indicating sensitization, with mothers serving as the primary source of information for most (84.8%). However, while maternal involvement was high, reliance on peers, siblings, teachers, or health personnel remained very limited, suggesting a lack of structured school- or health-based education. Comfort in discussing menstruation also centered on mothers (78.2%). with far fewer girls turning to siblings, friends, or teachers. Although a large majority (78.4%) recognized menstruation as a physiological/hormonal process, around one in five girls attributed it to God's will or admitted ignorance, reflecting lingering cultural beliefs. Worryingly, misconceptions about the source of menstrual blood were widespread: only 43.9% correctly identified the uterus, while nearly an equal proportion (43.4%) believed it came from the bladder, and 12.6% did not know. Awareness of the normal age of menarche was modest, with 59.8% identifying 11-13 years

as correct, but more than one-third reported either earlier, later, or "don't know" responses. Similarly, only 43.8% recognized the normal cycle length as 21–35 days, while 42.2% believed it was shorter, suggesting both underestimation and misinformation. On a positive note, awareness regarding the recommended frequency of pad change was high, with 82.7% correctly reporting 4–6 hours. These findings demonstrate that while most girls are not entering menarche uninformed, their understanding of the biological basis of menstruation remains limited and heavily dependent on informal family-based knowledge.

Hygiene practices among respondents reflected encouraging adoption of modern materials but persistent shortcomings in disposal and cleaning practices. The vast majority of girls reported using sanitary pads during menstruation (94.3%), with only 3.9% using cloth and less than 1% using menstrual cups or tampons. These figures highlight the penetration of pad use, which was also reflected in knowledge, where pads were overwhelmingly preferred (93.8%). In terms of pad-changing practices, 82.2% reported changing every 4-6 hours as recommended, while 12.9% either changed less frequently or lacked knowledge. Menstrual waste disposal showed a mixed pattern: although safe methods such as pits (37.2%), dustbins (37.7%), and burning (19.9%) were common, unsafe disposal practices, though rare, were still present, with 2.1% flushing pads and 0.4% practicing open disposal. Nearly all respondents reported bathing during menstruation (94.1%) and



Table 3: Hygiene Practices and Management During Menstruation Among School-Going Adolescent Girls, District Shimla (N = 5433)

Variable	Category	Frequency (n)	Percentage (%)
Material used during menstruation (practice)	Sanitary pad	5119	94.3
	Clean cloth	212	3.9
	Menstrual cup	51	0.9
	Tampon	51	0.9
Preferred material (knowledge)	Sanitary pad	5099	93.8
-	Clean cloth	249	4.6
	Menstrual cup	55	1.0
	Tampon	30	0.6
Frequency of pad/cloth change (practice)	Once in 4–6 hours (correct)	4466	82.2
	Once in 7–8 hours	576	10.6
	Once a day	126	2.3
	Don't know	265	4.9
Method of disposal (practice)	Dustbin	2049	37.7
	Pit	2021	37.2
	Burning	1080	19.9
	Flushing in toilet	116	2.1
	Open disposal	21	0.4
Method of disposal (knowledge)	Pit	2080	38.3
	Dustbin	1941	35.7
	Burning	1032	19.0
	Flushing in toilet	227	4.2
	Open disposal	27	0.5
Bathing during menstruation	Yes	5110	94.1
	No	180	3.3
	Sometimes	143	2.6
Handwashing after pad/cloth change	Yes	5384	99.1
	No	49	0.9
Genital cleaning method	Clean water	3636	66.9
	Soap and water	772	14.2
	Antiseptic solution	896	16.5
	Don't know/others	129	2.4

Table 4: Socio-Cultural Restrictions and Beliefs During Menstruation Among School-Going Adolescent Girls, District Shimla (N = 5433)

Variable	Category	Frequency (n)	Percentage (%)
Activities prohibited during menstruation (beliefs)	Temple entry	1339	24.6
	Cooking/kitchen work	684	12.6
	Social gatherings/functions	346	6.4
	All of the above	1087	20.0
	None	1977	36.4
Food restrictions reported	Sour and spicy foods	2930	53.9
	Cold and sugary drinks	598	11.0
	Meat/non-veg food	374	6.9
	All of the above	979	18.0
	None	552	10.2
Social and cultural restrictions (practiced)	Avoided attending social functions	3611	66.5
	Restricted from entering temples	4528	83.4
	Avoided cooking/food preparation	2895	53.3
	Restricted from sharing utensils	2738	50.4
	Made to stay in a separate room	2544	46.8
	Slept on the floor	1526	28.1
	No restriction reported	905	16.6

Table 5: Health-Seeking Behavior Related to Menstruation Among School-Going Adolescent Girls, District Shimla (N = 5433)

Variable	Category	Frequency (n)	Percentage (%)	
Consultation for menstrual problems	Yes	4417	81.3	
	No	1016	18.7	
Preferred source of treatment			77.8	
	Self-medication	782	14.4	
	Traditional healer	238	4.4	
	Others	184	3.4	
When to consult a doctor (knowledge)	Severe abdominal/pelvic pain	874	16.1	
	Irregular cycles	1126	20.7	
	Excessive/heavy bleeding	685	12.6	
	All of the above (correct)	2748	50.6	



Table 6: Association of Knowledge and Awareness About Menstruation with Socio-Demographic Variables (N = 5433)

Socio-demographic	Awareness before	Correct	Correct source	Correct age of	Correct cycle	Correct frequency of
variable	menarche (%)	knowledge of	(uterus) (%)	menarche (11-13	length (21–35	pad change (4-6 h)
		cause (%)		yrs) (%)	days) (%)	(%)
Age group (≤15 vs	89.2 vs 94.6 (p =	72.1 vs 82.3	39.5 vs 47.2 (p	54.3 vs 63.7 (p =	39.7 vs 47.8	77.1 vs 85.3
>15)	0.004)	(p<0.001)	= 0.001)	0.002)	(p<0.001)	(p<0.001)
Class (≤10 vs >10)	90.3 vs 93.8 (p =	70.2 vs 81.4	38.7 vs 46.5 (p	55.2 vs 62.9 (p =	39.2 vs 46.7 (p =	76.5 vs 84.7
	0.028)	(p<0.001)	= 0.003)	0.007)	0.005)	(p<0.001)
Mother's education	88.7 vs 95.1	69.5 vs 83.9	37.9 vs 49.6	53.4 vs 65.1	38.2 vs 48.5	74.4 vs 86.7
(≤Sec vs ≥Senior Sec)	(p<0.001)	(p<0.001)	(p<0.001)	(p<0.001)	(p<0.001)	(p<0.001)
Father's education	89.0 vs 94.3	70.4 vs 82.5	38.6 vs 47.8 (p	54.0 vs 64.2	39.1 vs 47.2 (p =	75.2 vs 86.1
(≤Sec vs ≥Senior Sec)	(p<0.001)	(p<0.001)	= 0.002)	(p<0.001)	0.003)	(p<0.001)
Family income (<₹20k	88.5 vs 94.8	69.8 vs 83.4	37.2 vs 49.2	53.9 vs 65.3	37.9 vs 48.6	74.6 vs 86.9
vs ≥₹20k per month)	(p<0.001)	(p<0.001)	(p<0.001)	(p<0.001)	(p<0.001)	(p<0.001)
Family type (Nuclear	92.7 vs 91.2 (p =	79.1 vs 77.2 (p =	44.5 vs 42.9 (p	59.8 vs 59.5 (p =	44.0 vs 43.3 (p =	83.1 vs 82.0 (p =
vs Joint)	0.142, NS)	0.210, NS)	= 0.331, NS)	0.891, NS)	0.670, NS)	0.504, NS)

Table 7: Association of Hygiene Practices During Menstruation with Socio-Demographic Variables (N = 5433)

Socio-demographic	Sanitary pad use	Safe disposal	Daily bathing (%)	Handwashing after pad	Genital cleaning with
variable	(%)	(pit/burn/dustbin) (%)		change (%)	water/soap (%)
Age group (≤15 vs >15)	91.9 vs 95.4	72.8 vs 79.1 (p = 0.002)	91.2 vs 95.1 (p =	98.7 vs 99.3 (p = 0.062,	80.4 vs 85.7 (p =
	(p<0.001)		0.004)	NS)	0.001)
Class (≤10 vs >10)	92.1 vs 95.8	73.0 vs 79.4 (p = 0.003)	91.5 vs 94.8 (p =	98.8 vs 99.4 (p = 0.078,	81.1 vs 85.0 (p =
	(p<0.001)	_	0.005)	NS)	0.006)
Mother's education (≤Sec	90.6 vs 96.3	71.2 vs 80.4 (p<0.001)	90.8 vs 95.6	98.6 vs 99.5 (p = 0.040)	79.5 vs 87.2 (p<0.001)
vs ≥Senior Sec)	(p<0.001)		(p<0.001)		
Father's education (≤Sec	91.3 vs 96.0	71.9 vs 80.0 (p<0.001)	91.0 vs 95.2	98.7 vs 99.4 (p = 0.051,	80.2 vs 86.8 (p<0.001)
vs ≥Senior Sec)	(p<0.001)	_	(p<0.001)	NS)	_
Family income (<₹20k vs	90.8 vs 96.6	71.5 vs 81.2 (p<0.001)	91.2 vs 95.4	98.6 vs 99.5 (p = 0.037)	79.0 vs 87.5 (p<0.001)
≥₹20k)	(p<0.001)	•	(p<0.001)	*	•
Family type (Nuclear vs	94.8 vs 93.6 (p =	77.1 vs 75.4 ($p = 0.266$,	94.3 vs 93.6 (p =	99.2 vs 99.0 (p = 0.591,	83.7 vs 82.9 (p =
Joint)	0.104, NS)	NS)	0.379, NS)	NS)	0.514, NS)

Table 8. Association of Socio-Cultural Restrictions During Menstruation with Socio-Demographic Variables (N = 5433)

Socio-demographic variable	Temple restriction (%)	Cooking restriction (%)	Avoid social gatherings (%)	Separate room	Sleeping on floor	Food restriction (%)
Age group (≤15 vs >15)	81.2 vs 84.9 (p = 0.011)	50.2 vs 54.8 (p = 0.037)	64.0 vs 67.9 (p = 0.045)	44.6 vs 48.5 (p = 0.052, NS)	26.7 vs 29.4 (p = 0.081, NS)	61.8 vs 66.4 (p = 0.032)
Class (≤10 vs >10)	80.8 vs 85.6 (p = 0.006)	49.1 vs 55.4 (p = 0.004)	63.2 vs 68.3 (p = 0.009)	44.1 vs 48.8 (p = 0.046)	26.3 vs 29.5 (p = 0.054, NS)	60.9 vs 66.7 (p = 0.007)
Mother's education (≤Sec vs ≥Senior Sec)	86.2 vs 80.1 (p<0.001)	56.8 vs 48.5 (p<0.001)	68.1 vs 64.2 (p = 0.031)	49.1 vs 44.2 (p = 0.012)	30.4 vs 26.1 (p = 0.010)	68.3 vs 61.5 (p<0.001)
Father's education (≤Sec vs ≥Senior Sec)	85.4 vs 81.0 (p = 0.002)	55.1 vs 49.4 (p = 0.004)	67.0 vs 64.9 (p = 0.082, NS)	48.2 vs 44.6 (p = 0.029)	29.7 vs 26.8 (p = 0.041)	67.1 vs 62.8 (p = 0.014)
Family income (<₹20k vs ≥₹20k)	85.8 vs 79.7 (p<0.001)	55.6 vs 47.8 (p<0.001)	68.5 vs 63.2 (p = 0.002)	49.6 vs 43.7 (p = 0.001)	30.9 vs 25.9 (p = 0.003)	69.0 vs 61.4 (p<0.001)
Family type (Nuclear vs Joint)	82.7 vs 84.5 (p = 0.142, NS)	52.8 vs 53.9 (p = 0.601, NS)	65.9 vs 66.9 (p = 0.672, NS)	46.1 vs 47.7 (p = 0.447, NS)	27.8 vs 28.6 (p = 0.709, NS)	63.5 vs 65.8 (p = 0.324, NS)

Table 9: Association of Health-Seeking Behavior with Socio-Demographic Variables (N = 5433)

Table 9. Association of Health-See				0 1 11 10 1 // 11
Socio-demographic variable	Consulted doctor (%)	Preferred health care	Used self-medication /	Correctly identified "all
		provider (%)	traditional (%)	warning signs" (%)
Age group ($\leq 15 \text{ vs} > 15$)	77.4 vs 83.5 (p =	73.9 vs 80.2 (p = 0.015)	22.5 vs 15.3 (p = 0.008)	45.3 vs 53.7 (p = 0.004)
	0.009)	*	,	•
Class (≤10 vs >10)	76.8 vs 84.6 (p =	72.5 vs 81.5 (p = 0.008)	23.7 vs 14.2 (p = 0.004)	44.0 vs 54.9 (p = 0.002)
	0.005)	_	_	-
Mother's education (≤Sec vs	75.5 vs 86.8 (p<0.001)	71.4 vs 83.1 (p<0.001)	24.9 vs 13.6 (p<0.001)	43.6 vs 56.2 (p<0.001)
≥Senior Sec)	_	_	_	-
Father's education (≤Sec vs	76.7 vs 85.3 (p<0.001)	72.8 vs 82.4 (p = 0.001)	23.1 vs 14.1 (p = 0.002)	44.8 vs 55.0 (p = 0.001)
≥Senior Sec)		_	_	_
Family income (<₹20k vs	74.3 vs 87.5 (p<0.001)	70.9 vs 84.1 (p<0.001)	25.1 vs 13.2 (p<0.001)	42.5 vs 57.3 (p<0.001)
≥₹20k)	,		*	• /
Family type (Nuclear vs Joint)	82.1 vs 80.2 (p =	78.6 vs 76.3 (p = 0.197,	17.5 vs 19.6 (p = 0.331, NS)	51.1 vs 49.4 (p = 0.478, NS)
	0.285, NS)	NS)	•	•

handwashing after pad change (99.1%), reflecting positive hygiene behaviors. Genital cleaning practices were largely

limited to water (66.9%) or soap and water (14.2%), though 16.5% reported using antiseptic solutions, which may be



unnecessary and potentially harmful. These results suggest high adoption of sanitary products and generally good hygiene practices, though concerns remain regarding environmental disposal of pads and reliance on unverified cleaning practices.

Socio-cultural restrictions during menstruation were widespread and deeply entrenched among respondents. More than four-fifths (83.4%) reported being restricted from entering temples, making this the most common restriction, followed by avoidance of cooking or food preparation (53.3%), attending social functions (66.5%), sharing utensils (50.4%), or being made to stay in separate rooms (46.8%). Nearly one-third reported being made to sleep on the floor (28.1%), underscoring the persistence of restrictive practices despite educational gains. Belief-based prohibitions were also common: nearly one-quarter (24.6%) reported temple avoidance as a belief, while others reported restrictions on cooking (12.6%) or attending gatherings (6.4%). Notably, 20.0% of girls believed in all restrictions simultaneously, while only 36.4% denied such beliefs. Food-related restrictions were also reported, with more than half avoiding sour or spicy foods (53.9%), 18.0% avoiding multiple food groups, and only 10.2% reporting no restrictions. These findings highlight a significant gap between improved access to menstrual products and persistent cultural stigma, suggesting that behavioral interventions must address not only hygiene practices but also the socio-cultural environment in which menstruation occurs.

Health-seeking behavior revealed both positive trends and areas for improvement. More than four-fifths of respondents (81.3%) reported consulting someone for menstrual problems, and most of them (77.8%) preferred formal health care providers such as doctors or nurses. However, a substantial minority relied on self-medication (14.4%) or traditional healers (4.4%), practices that may delay appropriate treatment. Awareness of warning signs was variable: while half of the respondents (50.6%) correctly identified that severe abdominal pain, irregular cycles, and heavy bleeding collectively warrant consultation, others recognized only one symptom—20.7% highlighted irregular cycles, 16.1% abdominal pain, and 12.6% excessive bleeding. These results suggest that while consultation rates are high, gaps persist in the recognition of menstrual morbidities, potentially delaying timely health care. Strengthening adolescent health education and integrating reproductive health into school curricula may improve comprehensive awareness and encourage appropriate helpseeking behavior.

Associations between knowledge and sociodemographic variables demonstrated clear socio-economic and educational gradients. Girls aged above 15 years, in higher classes, and with better-educated parents consistently demonstrated higher awareness regarding menstruation's cause, source, normal age of menarche, cycle length, and correct frequency of pad change. For example, knowledge of the physiological cause of menstruation was significantly higher among those with senior secondary or graduateeducated parents compared to those with lower parental education (p<0.001). Similarly, family income above ₹20,000 per month was strongly associated with better awareness across domains. By contrast, family type (nuclear vs joint) showed no significant association with knowledge outcomes, indicating that household structure per se does not influence menstrual knowledge. These findings reinforce the importance of socio-economic and educational determinants in shaping reproductive health literacy among adolescent girls.

Hygiene practices showed strong associations with socio-demographic characteristics. Older girls and those in higher classes reported significantly higher sanitary pad use, safer disposal, daily bathing, and better genital hygiene compared to younger counterparts. Similarly, parental education and higher family income were positively associated with better practices, indicating a strong socioeconomic influence on menstrual management. For instance, pad use was nearly universal among girls with senior secondary-educated mothers (96.3%) but lower among those with mothers educated up to secondary level or less (90.6%). Family income also influenced safe disposal methods, with wealthier families reporting greater use of bins and pits. Handwashing after pad change was nearly universal (>98%) across all groups, with no significant socio-demographic differences. Family type again showed no significant association with hygiene practices, suggesting that education and income play more crucial roles than household structure in shaping safe menstrual management.

Socio-cultural restrictions were significantly patterned by age, education, and income. Restrictions such as temple avoidance, cooking prohibition, and food taboos were more common among younger girls, those in lower classes, and those from families with less-educated parents or lower income. For example, 86.2% of girls with mothers educated ≤secondary reported temple restrictions compared to 80.1% among those with mothers educated ≥senior secondary (p<0.001). Similarly, food restrictions were reported by 69.0% of girls from low-income households compared to 61.4% from higher-income households. These findings suggest that socio-economic disadvantage is associated not only with knowledge gaps but also with higher exposure to restrictive cultural practices. Interestingly, family type (nuclear vs joint) did not significantly influence cultural restrictions, indicating that such beliefs are pervasive across household structures.

Health-seeking behavior showed consistent associations with socio-demographic factors. Older girls, those in higher classes, and those from wealthier and better-educated families were significantly more likely to consult doctors, prefer health care providers, and recognize warning signs. For instance, consultation rates were 87.5% among families with monthly income ≥₹20,000 compared to 74.3% in lower-income households (p<0.001). In contrast, reliance on self-medication and traditional healers was significantly higher among younger girls and socio-economically disadvantaged groups. Knowledge of comprehensive



warning signs was strongly associated with parental education, with 56.2% of girls with senior secondary-educated mothers identifying all warning signs, compared to 43.6% of those with less-educated mothers (p<0.001). Family type again showed no significant association, suggesting that structural household arrangements do not substantially shape health-seeking patterns. Overall, these findings emphasize the critical role of parental education and family income in fostering appropriate health-seeking behavior among adolescent girls.

DISCUSSION

This large cross-sectional study among 5,433 school-going adolescent girls in Shimla district, Himachal Pradesh, provides one of the most comprehensive assessments of menstrual knowledge, hygiene practices, socio-cultural restrictions, and health-seeking behavior in the region. The study highlights encouraging trends such as high premenarche awareness, near-universal sanitary pad use, and widespread bathing and handwashing during menstruation. However, significant gaps persist in knowledge about the biological basis of menstruation, safe disposal of menstrual waste, and recognition of warning signs requiring medical Importantly, socio-demographic factorsattention. particularly parental education and family income—were consistently associated with knowledge, restrictions, and health-seeking behaviors, while family type (nuclear vs joint) showed little influence.

The study found that more than 90% of girls had some awareness of menstruation prior to menarche, primarily from their mothers. This figure is higher than reports from other parts of India.16-19 The predominance of mothers as the first source of information is consistent with national patterns but highlights a missed opportunity for schools and health workers to play a greater role. Despite this awareness, misconceptions remained widespread: less than half the respondents correctly identified the uterus as the source of menstrual blood, while nearly an equal proportion believed it originated from the bladder. Misconceptions about cycle length were also prevalent, echoing findings from other studies in India, where significant number of adolescents demonstrated inadequate reproductive health girls knowledge [8,20-23]. Such knowledge gaps suggest that awareness is often descriptive rather than scientific, shaped by cultural beliefs and limited formal instruction.

Encouragingly, sanitary pad use was reported by 94% of respondents, a figure well above the national average of 64% (NFHS-5, 2021) [23]. This reflects both improved availability and changing social acceptance of modern absorbents in Himachal Pradesh. Bathing (94%) and handwashing (99%) rates were also remarkably high compared to other studies, where cultural taboos often discourage bathing during menstruation [24-26]. However, the findings on disposal were concerning. While dustbin, pit, or burning were common practices, 2.1% of respondents flushed pads into toilets and 0.4% disposed of them openly. Improper disposal has both health and environmental

implications, particularly in hilly areas like Shimla with limited waste infrastructure. These results align with studies from other Indian states that highlight menstrual waste management as a growing public health challenge requiring urgent attention [27-29].

Despite high pad use and hygiene practices, sociocultural restrictions were highly prevalent. More than fourfifths of respondents reported being barred from temples, and nearly half were restricted from cooking or made to stay separately during menstruation. These findings mirror national patterns [30-31]. The persistence of restrictions even among educated families underscores the deep-rooted nature of menstrual taboos. Food restrictions—reported by two-thirds of respondents—further highlight how cultural norms influence not just social participation but also dietary intake, potentially exacerbating nutritional vulnerabilities during adolescence. Importantly, such restrictions were more common among younger girls and those from socioeconomically disadvantaged families, suggesting that empowerment through education and improved resources may reduce, though not eliminate, cultural stigma.

Health-seeking behavior was relatively high, with more than four-fifths of girls consulting someone for menstrual problems and nearly 78% preferring formal health providers. This is encouraging compared to earlier studies in northern India, where reliance on family members or traditional healers was more common. However, one in six respondents still resorted to self-medication or traditional remedies, reflecting barriers such as stigma, cost, and accessibility. Awareness of warning signs was incomplete: only half of the respondents correctly recognized all major symptoms (severe pain, irregular cycles, heavy bleeding) as requiring medical consultation. This gap is concerning, as untreated menstrual morbidities contribute to school absenteeism, poor quality of life, and risk of chronic gynecological problems. These findings highlight the need for targeted health education programs that not only normalize help-seeking but also equip girls with the knowledge to recognize danger signs early.

Across all domains—knowledge, hygiene, restrictions, and health-seeking—parental education and family income consistently emerged as strong determinants. Girls from families with higher education and income had significantly better awareness, safer practices, and greater health-seeking. These results reinforce the role of socio-economic status as a powerful enabler of adolescent health, consistent with global evidence that education improves menstrual health literacy and reduces stigma [32-34]. Interestingly, family type (nuclear vs joint) was not significantly associated with any outcome, suggesting that structural arrangements of households may matter less than educational and economic capacity in shaping menstrual experiences. These findings emphasize the need for equity-focused interventions that prioritize disadvantaged families.

Policy and Programmatic Implications

The findings carry important implications for menstrual health policy. First, the high reliance on mothers underscores



the need to strengthen parental engagement programs, while simultaneously ensuring that schools and health workers provide accurate, age-appropriate information. Second, safe menstrual waste management must be integrated into both school health programs and municipal waste strategies, especially in resourceconstrained rural and hilly areas. Third, interventions must go beyond distribution of pads to tackle entrenched cultural restrictions through community dialogue, gender-sensitive education, and involvement of men and boys. Fourth, targeted support is required for socioeconomically disadvantaged families, where both knowledge gaps and restrictive practices are more pronounced. Finally, linking menstrual health education to broader adolescent health and reproductive rights frameworks will help position it within the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), particularly SDG 3 (health), SDG 4 (education), and SDG 5 (gender equality).

Strengths and Limitations

The strengths of this study include its large, representative sample, use of a standardized questionnaire, and comprehensive assessment of both knowledge and practices across multiple domains. Conducting the survey online via Google Forms ensured wide coverage at low cost, while maintaining anonymity and minimizing reporting bias. However, limitations should be acknowledged. First, selfreported data are subject to recall and social desirability bias, especially on sensitive issues such as restrictions and disposal. Second, the study included only school-going girls, potentially excluding out-of-school adolescents who may face greater vulnerabilities. Third, while associations were observed with socio-demographic factors, causal inferences cannot be drawn due to the cross-sectional design. Despite these limitations, the study provides robust and timely evidence to inform adolescent health programming in India and beyond.

CONCLUSION

This study among 5,433 school-going adolescent girls in Shimla district highlights both significant progress and persistent challenges in menstrual health. Encouragingly, awareness before menarche was high, sanitary pad use was nearly universal, and hygiene practices such as bathing and handwashing were widely adopted. However, major knowledge gaps persisted regarding the physiology of menstruation, the source of menstrual blood, and the normal cycle length. Unsafe disposal practices, though infrequent, were present, and socio-cultural restrictions—such as temple exclusion, food taboos, and social isolation-remained deeply entrenched. Health-seeking behavior was fairly strong, yet recognition of comprehensive warning signs was limited. Across domains, socio-economic and educational disparities were the strongest determinants of menstrual knowledge, practices, and restrictions, while household structure had minimal influence.

Recommendations

- Strengthen school-based education: Menstrual health should be integrated into the formal curriculum through age-appropriate, scientifically accurate, and gendersensitive modules, supported by trained teachers and health educators
- Enhance parental and community engagement:
 Since mothers remain the primary source of information, programs should equip parents with accurate knowledge, while engaging fathers, peers, and community leaders to challenge taboos
- Improve menstrual waste management: Policies must ensure access to safe and sustainable disposal options in schools and communities, coupled with education on environmentally sound practices
- Target socio-economically disadvantaged groups:
 Equity-driven interventions should prioritize families with lower income and education, where knowledge gaps and restrictive practices are more prevalent
- Promote health-seeking behavior: Adolescent health services should be strengthened to provide confidential, adolescent-friendly counseling and care, with a focus on early recognition of menstrual morbidities
- Foster cultural change: Multi-level interventions involving schools, families, media, and policymakers are needed to dismantle harmful socio-cultural restrictions and normalize menstruation as a healthy biological process
- By addressing both the informational and socio-cultural dimensions of menstruation, these recommendations can contribute to advancing adolescent health, gender equality, and dignity. In alignment with global commitments under the Sustainable Development Goals, menstrual health must be positioned as a central component of adolescent well-being and human rights

REFERENCES

- [1] Deshpande T.N. *et al.* "Menstrual hygiene among adolescent girls a study from urban slum area." *J Family Med Prim Care*, vol.7, no.6, 2018, pp. 1439–1445.
- [2] Jyoti K. and Mahajan S. "Awareness of physical changes occurring in adolescent period: an interventional study among girls 13–17 years of age in rural field practice area Government Medical College Amritsar." *Int J Community Med Public Health*, vol.12, 2025, pp. 876–879.
- [3] Singh A. *et al.* "Menstrual hygiene practices among adolescent women in rural India: a cross-sectional study." *BMC Public Health*, vol.22, no.1, 2022, pp. 2126.
- [4] National Institute of Kashmir Studies. "PAN India MHH among adolescent girls in India." University of Kashmir, April 2023. Available from: https://prcku.uok.edu.in/Files/fb7d7958-7015-454e-8e81-0d536ab44bad/Menu/PAN_INDIA_MHH_among_adolescent_girls_in_India_240420231_f48c08e5-8a2b-466b-a88a-2262b7a8ae1a.pdf. Accessed 2 Sept. 2025.
- [5] Paria B. et al. "A comparative study on menstrual hygiene among urban and rural adolescent girls of West Bengal." J Family Med Prim Care, vol.3, no.4, 2014, pp. 413–417.



- [6] Verma S. and Pandey B. "Menstrual health and hygiene: understanding knowledge, attitudes, and practices among women." *Int J Multidiscip*, vol.10, no.6, 2025, pp.82–106.
- [7] Borkar S.K. et al. "Study of menstrual hygiene practices among adolescent girls in a tribal area of Central India." *Cureus*, vol.14, no.10, 2022, e30247.
- [8] Panda N. et al. "Menstrual health and hygiene amongst adolescent girls and women of reproductive age: a study of practices and predictors Odisha India." BMC Womens Health, vol.24, 2024, pp.144.
- [9] Kaur R. et al. "Menstrual hygiene management and waste disposal: practices and challenges faced by girls/women of developing countries." J Environ Public Health, 2018, e1730964.
- [10] Kumari S. and Muneshwar K.N. "A review on initiatives for promoting better menstrual hygiene practices and management in India." *Cureus*, vol.15, no.10, 2023, e47156.
- [11] Bali S. et al. "Is there any relationship between poor menstrual hygiene management and anemia? A quantitative study among adolescent girls of the urban slum of Madhya Pradesh." *Indian J Community Med*, vol.46, no.3, 2021, pp. 550–553.
- [12] Method A. et al. "Challenges faced by adolescent girls on menstrual hygiene management: school-based study Siha Kilimanjaro Tanzania." PLOS Glob Public Health, vol.4, no.6, 2024, e0002842.
- [13] Joshi K. and Mendhe D. "Navigating menstrual health and hygiene: challenges and solutions for adolescent girls." J Pharm Bioallied Sci, vol.17, suppl.1, 2025, pp. S88–91.
- [14] Sachdeva A. *et al.* "Unveiling reproductive health challenges: a qualitative assessment to explore menstrual hygiene breastfeeding and complementary feeding practices & restrictions among women in Himachal Pradesh." *J Pioneering Med Sci*, vol.13, no.5, 2024, pp. 25–33.
- [15] Kajal K. et al. "From girls to mothers: public awareness of reproductive health and its challenges among women in Shimla." Sci Res J Clin Med Sci, vol.5, no.1, 2025, pp. 1–4.
- [16] Singh N. et al. "Comparison of awareness and perception of menstrual hygiene between pre- and postmenarchal adolescents of North India: a cross-sectional study." *J Family Med Prim Care*, vol.10, no.11, 2021, pp. 4168–4175.
- [17] van Eijk A.M. et al. "Menstrual hygiene management among adolescent girls in India: a systematic review and metaanalysis." BMJ Open, vol.6, no.3, 2016, e010290.
- [18] Meher T. and Sahoo H. "Secular trend in age at menarche among Indian women." Sci Rep, vol.14, 2024, pp. 5398.
- [19] Joshi P. et al. "Status gaps and challenges in menstrual health in India: a systematic review." Prev Med Res Rev, vol.2, no.3, 2025, pp. 129–137.
- [20] Omidvar S. et al. "A study on menstruation of Indian adolescent girls in an urban area of South India." J Family Med Prim Care, vol.7, no.4, 2018, pp. 698–702.
- [21] Sahay N. "Myths and misconceptions about menstruation: A study of adolescent school girls of Delhi." *J Womens Health Dev*, vol.3, 2020, pp. 154–169.

- [22] Mitra S. et al. "Mapping menstrual and pelvic health scenario in India: a scoping review of biopsychosocial factors." Cureus, vol.17, no.6, 2025, e85541.
- [23] National Family Health Survey (NFHS-5), Himachal Pradesh. Ministry of Health and Family Welfare, Government of India, 2021. Available from: https://nhm.hp.gov.in/storage/app/media/uploadedfiles/NFHS-5_%20Himachal_Pradesh.pdf. Accessed 2 Sept. 2025.
- [24] Dasgupta A. and Sarkar M. "Menstrual hygiene: how hygienic is the adolescent girl?" *Indian J Community Med*, vol.33, no.2, 2008, pp.77–80.
- [25] Raj A.S. and Prabhakumari C. "Perceptions attitudes and practices of menstrual hygiene among rural and urban high school girls in a northern district of Kerala." *Int J Community Med Public Health*, vol.7, no.7, 2020, pp.2734.
- [26] Yaliwal R.G. et al. "Menstrual morbidities menstrual hygiene cultural practices during menstruation and WASH practices at schools in adolescent girls of North Karnataka India: a cross-sectional prospective study." Obstet Gynecol Int, 2020, e6238193.
- [27] Gore M. and Patwardhan A. "Sanitation and menstrual health challenges among Pandharpur women pilgrims: an exploratory study with recommendations." *J Prim Care Community Health*, vol.16, 2025, e21501319251359136.
- [28] Agarwal A. et al. "Empowering rural women through sustainable menstrual hygiene practices for enhanced reproductive health." J Reprod Healthc Med, vol.5, 2024, pp. 15.
- [29] Biju A. "Period product disposal in India: the tipping point." Lancet Reg Health Southeast Asia, vol.15, 2023, pp.100214.
- [30] Kaur M. and Vats T. "Menstrual awareness hygiene practices and perceptions among the adolescent girls of Nahan Himachal Pradesh India." *Int J Community Med Public Health*, vol.7, no.3, 2020, pp. 1145.
- [31] Patil T.S. *et al.* "Attitude and socio-cultural practices during menstruation in nursing students." *Int J Med Sci Clin Res Rev*, vol.7, no.3, 2024, pp. 622–630.
- [32] Agyei-Sarpong K. "Examining socio-economic and parental influences on menstrual hygiene practices and knowledge accuracy: implications for counselling policy and education." *Int J Res Innov Appl Sci*, vol.10, no.1, 2025, pp. 482–498.
- [33] Sonowal P. et al. "Sociodemographic factors and their association with menstrual hygiene practices among adolescent girls in urban slums of Dibrugarh town Assam." J Family Med Prim Care, vol. 10, no. 12, 2021, pp. 4446–4451.
- [34] Åkerman E. *et al.* "Navigating menstrual stigma and norms: a qualitative study on young people's menstrual experiences and strategies for improving menstrual health." *BMC Public Health*, vol.24, no.1, 2024, pp. 3401.